arXiv:1603.04905v2 [math.SP] 20 Mar 2016

ALMOST PERIODICITY IN TIME OF SOLUTIONS OF THE TODA LATTICE

ILIA BINDER, DAVID DAMANIK, MILIVOJE LUKIC, AND TOM VANDENBOOM

Abstract. We study an initial value problem for the Toda lattice with almost periodic initial data. We consider initial data for which the associated Jacobi operator is absolutely continuous and has a spectrum satisfying a Craig-type condition, and show the boundedness and almost periodicity in time and space of solutions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Background and Notation 4
3. Evolution of the Weyl M-matrix Under the Toda Flow 6
4. The Non-Pausing of Dirichlet Data in the Non-Stationary Toda Flow 9
5. A Dubrovin-Type Formula for the Toda Flow 11
6. Linearization of the Toda Flow 14
Appendix A. Homogeneity as a Consequence of Craig's Condition 18
Appendix B. Vanishing Lyapunov Exponents in the Sodin-Yuditskii Regime 21
References 22

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to studying solutions of the Toda lattice

$$\frac{d}{dt}a_n(t) = a_n(t)(b_{n+1}(t) - b_n(t)), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}b_n(t) = 2(a_n^2(t) - a_{n-1}^2(t)), \tag{1.2}$$

satisfying an initial value condition

$$(a_n, b_n)(0) = (\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{b}_n) \tag{1.3}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Whenever the initial data is bounded, i.e. $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, there is a unique solution in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \times \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}))$ of the initial value problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) [29, Theorem 12.6].

The Toda lattice was originally proposed by Morikazu Toda in 1967 [30] as a model describing the positions and momenta of a chain of k particles with positions $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^k$ and momenta $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^k$ interacting with Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{k} p_n^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} \exp(-(q_{n+1} - q_n)) + \exp(-(q_n - q_{n-1})). \tag{1.4}$$

This system generalizes naturally to the case of infinitely many particles. Toda's lattice is significant for being the first Hamiltonian with nearest-neighbor interactions demonstrating the existence of soliton solutions.

I. B. was supported in part by an NSERC Discovery grant.
D. D. and T. V. were supported in part by NSF grant DMS–1361625.
M. L. was supported in part by NSF grant DMS–1301582.

Toda was not the first to explore solid models with nearest-neighbor interactions. Notably, in numerical experiments, Fermi, Pasta, and Ulam observed a surprising characteristic of systems defined by Hamiltonians with polynomial interaction terms: that, rather than thermalizing to an equilibrium state, the particles asymptotically tended to almost periodic solutions in time [10]. We intend to explore this same phenomenon rigorously for the Toda lattice (1.4).

The formulation of the system described by (1.4) as (1.1), (1.2) follows from the change of variables due to Flaschka [11] given by

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}(q_{n+1} - q_n)),$$
$$b_n = -\frac{1}{2}p_n.$$

Flaschka's variables demonstrate that the Toda lattice is the discrete analogue of the Korteweg-de Vries equation [17] in the sense that the system (1.1), (1.2) can be expressed equivalently as a Lax pair [18]

$$\frac{d}{dt}J(t) = [P(t), J(t)] \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$(J(t)u)_n = a_{n-1}(t)u_{n-1} + b_n(t)u_n + a_n(t)u_{n+1}$$

and

$$(P(t)u)_n = -a_{n-1}(t)u_{n-1} + a_n(t)u_{n+1}.$$

Systems with a Lax pair formulation are often described as completely integrable; indeed, it easily follows from (1.5) that the operators $J(t)$ are mutually unitarily equivalent, which can be used to extract conserved quantities. This is particularly convenient for the periodic Toda lattice, which is a finite dimensional integrable system in which a maximal set of conserved quantities can be obtained as traces of powers of $J(t)$ [31]. In the aperiodic case considered in this paper, one cannot rely on this method. Indeed, proving stronger statements of integrability is a problem highly dependent on the type of initial data considered.

In the context of the KdV equation, Deift [8] posed an open problem whether, for almost periodic initial data, solutions to the KdV equation were almost periodic in the time variable. In [1], this question is partially answered in the affirmative; namely, that for small, quasi-periodic analytic initial data at Diophantine frequency, unique solutions exist and are almost periodic in time. In this paper, we consider the analogous question for the Toda lattice (posed, e.g., in [1]): for almost periodic initial data $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$, is it true that the solution to (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) is almost periodic in the time variable t? Our main theorem provides sufficient spectral conditions on the initial data to guarantee this indeed occurs.

We now describe an aspect of these spectral conditions. Denote by $J_0 = J(0)$ the Jacobi operator corresponding to the initial data $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$. The spectrum $E = \sigma(J_0)$ is compact, and can thus be written

$$E = [\underline{E}, \overline{E}] \setminus \bigcup_{j\in I}(E_j^-, E_j^+)$$

for an appropriate (at most countable) indexing set I. Here, $\underline{E} = \inf E$, $\overline{E} = \sup E$, and (E_j^-, E_j^+) are the bounded maximal open intervals in $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$, called gaps. Denote the gap lengths by

$$\gamma_j = E_j^+ - E_j^-$$

for $j \in I$, and the distances between gaps by

$$\eta_{j,l} = \min\{|E_j^+ - E_l^-|, |E_j^- - E_l^+|\},$$
$$\eta_j = \min\{|E_j^+ - \overline{E}|, |E_j^- - \underline{E}|\}.$$

It will be important for our spectrum to satisfy conditions analogous to that of Craig [2]. If we denote

$$C_j = (\overline{E} - \underline{E}) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{\gamma_k}{\eta_{j,k}}\right). \tag{1.6}$$

we wish for the following spectral assumptions to hold:

$$\sup_{j\in I}\frac{\gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_j}C_j < \infty, \qquad \sup_{j\in I}\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{(\gamma_j\gamma_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_{j,k}}C_j < \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

Heuristically, this condition guarantees that relatively large gaps of the spectrum do not accumulate.

In this context, we have a sufficient condition which demonstrates the time-and-space almost periodicity of the associated solution:

Theorem 1.1. *Let the initial data $J_0 = (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$ be almost periodic. Denote $E = \sigma(J_0)$, and assume that $E = \sigma_{ac}(J_0)$ and E satisfies* (1.7)*. Then:*

1. *the unique solution $(a, b)(t)$ of the initial value problem* (1.1),(1.2),(1.3) *is bounded in time;*
2. *the solution is almost periodic in t, in the sense that there is a continuous map*

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{T}^I \to \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \times \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}),$$

   a point $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^I$, and a direction $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^I$ such that $(a, b)(t) = \mathcal{M}(\omega + \zeta t)$;
3. *for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the associated Jacobi operator $J(t)$ is almost periodic with frequency module equal to the frequency module of J_0.*

The proof of this theorem relies heavily on the previous work establishing analogous results for quasi-periodic initial data with finite-gap spectra; these results are collected in, e.g., [12]. It also relies on the inverse spectral-theoretic works of Remling [22, 23] and Sodin-Yuditskii [27, 28]. These results and their relevance will be discussed in further detail later in the paper.

On comparison with the continuum analogue [1], one may notice that the application to small quasiperiodic initial data [1, Theorem 1] is conspicuously absent. The proof of this application was due in large part to extensive machinery developed in [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] for the continuum Schrödinger operator. The importance of this machinery is that it provides a direct spectral criterion guaranteeing that the analogue of (1.7) is satisfied. With this in mind, we remark that the analogue of [1, Theorem 1] is very likely true in the Toda lattice case, pending a number of discrete analogues to continuum results. One would then apply comparable results to [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] to small quasi-periodic initial data J_0 to verify this assumption, consequently concluding time almost periodicity. Proving these discrete analogues, however, seems an undertaking well beyond the scope of this paper.

The paper will be structured as follows: in Section 2, we establish some definitions and notation and review some fundamental results in inverse spectral theory. In Section 3, we review the cocycle representation of the Toda flow and describe the time evolution of the Weyl M-matrix. Section 4 addresses perhaps the primary difficulty by demonstrating that the Dirichlet data corresponding to the Toda flow do not pause at the edges of gaps. In Section 5, we prove a relation describing the Toda flow on the Dirichlet data; in particular, we prove that the Dirichlet data flow in a differentiable manner with respect to a Lipschitz vector field. Finally, Section 6 addresses the linearization of the Toda flow under the Abel map, and contains the proof of Theorem 1.1. For the curious reader, we have also appended a number of useful facts pertaining to the Sodin-Yuditskii inversion approach; namely, that our condition (1.7) implies Carleson homogeneity (Appendix A) and everywhere-zero Lyapunov exponents in the Sodin-Yuditskii regime (Appendix B).

2. Background and Notation

We consider bounded Jacobi operators, which are operators $J = J(a, b)$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ parametrized by a pair of bounded, real-valued sequences $a, b \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ as follows:

$$(Ju)_n = a_n u_{n+1} + b_n u_n + a_{n-1} u_{n-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

Jacobi operators arise naturally in the context of the spectral theorem: any bounded self-adjoint operator A with a cyclic vector is unitarily equivalent to a Jacobi operator on a half-line. They also correspond closely to orthogonal polynomials on the real line and generalize discrete one-dimensional Schrödinger operators (for which $a_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$). We restrict to the non-singular case, where $a_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is easy to see that, under these conditions, J is a bounded self-adjoint operator. The spectrum $\sigma(J)$ is the set of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $J - z$ does *not* have a bounded inverse operator $(J - z)^{-1} : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Because J is self-adjoint, $\sigma(J) \subset \mathbb{R}$, and because J is bounded, $\sigma(J)$ is compact.

Associated to each Jacobi operator J is its resolvent, $(J-z)^{-1}$, which (by definition) is a bounded operator for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(J)$, the resolvent set. This operator can likewise be put in a matrix form, with elements given by

$$r(n, m; J, z) = \langle \delta_n, (J - z)^{-1} \delta_m \rangle \tag{2.2}$$

We write $r(n; J, z) := r(n, n; J, z)$ for the diagonal elements of the resolvent matrix, and $r(J, z) := r(0; J, z)$ for the spectral theoretic Green's function of J. This function is holomorphic on the resolvent set $\rho(J) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(J)$.

For $\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, the spectral measure $d\mu_\psi$ is the unique measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with the property that

$$\langle \psi, (J - z)^{-1} \psi \rangle = \int \frac{1}{x - z} \, d\mu_\psi(x), \ \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.3}$$

Spectral measures are always supported on the spectrum. Using the Lebesgue decomposition of $d\mu_\psi = d\mu_{\psi,ac} + d\mu_{\psi,s}$, the absolutely continuous spectrum $\sigma_{ac}(J)$ can be defined as the smallest common topological support of absolutely continuous parts of all spectral measures,

$$\sigma_{ac}(J) = \overline{\bigcup_{\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})} \operatorname{supp} d\mu_{\psi,ac}}.$$

Clearly, $\sigma_{ac}(J) \subset \sigma(J)$. We will focus on cases where $\sigma_{ac}(J) = \sigma(J)$.

For each $z \notin \sigma(J)$, the difference equation $Ju = zu$ has nontrivial (formal) solutions $u_\pm(J; z)$, called Weyl solutions, such that $u_\pm(J; z) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_\pm)$. The Weyl solutions are clearly linearly independent, and thus span the 2-dimensional solution space $\ker(J - z)$. Furthermore, they are unique up to a multiplicative constant. For simplicity of notation, we write

$$V_\pm(J; z) = \begin{bmatrix} u_\pm(J; z)(1) \\ a_0(J) u_\pm(J; z)(0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The resolvent function then satisfies the important relation

$$r(n, m; J, z) = \frac{u_\pm(n) u_\mp(m)}{W(u_-, u_+)},$$

where $\pm 1 = \operatorname{sgn}(n - m)$ and $W(u_-, u_+) = a_0(u_-(0)u_+(1) - u_-(1)u_+(0))$ denotes the Jacobi Wronskian.

In terms of the Weyl solutions, one can express the half-line m-functions by

$$m_\pm(J; z) = \mp \frac{u_\pm(J; z)(1)}{a_0(J) u_\pm(J; z)(0)}.$$

These functions are the half-line analogues of the spectral Green's function. For fixed J, these are meromorphic functions of $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E$ which analytically map the upper half-plane to itself.

Note the important equalities

$$r(0;J,z) = \frac{1}{a_0^2(J)(m_+(J,z)+m_-(J,z))}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$r(1;J,z) = \frac{m_-(J,z)m_+(J,z)}{m_+(J,z)+m_-(J,z)}. \tag{2.5}$$

It can be shown that $r(J,z)$ has at most one simple zero $\mu_j \in (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ for each $j \in I$. When such a zero exists, it is likewise a pole of exactly one of $m_\pm(J;z)$. Write $\sigma_j = \pm 1$ in this case. More precisely, fixing J and observing that $r(n,n;z)$ is strictly increasing for $z \in (E_j^-, E_j^+)$, we define

$$\mu_j(n) = \begin{cases} z \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) & r(n,n;z) = 0 \\ E_j^+ & r(n,n;z) < 0 \ \ \forall z \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) \\ E_j^- & r(n,n;z) > 0 \ \ \forall z \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) \end{cases}$$

In the first case, the sign σ_j is defined so that m_{σ_j} has a pole at μ_j; in the latter two cases, we don't have this dichotomy, so we say $\sigma_j = 0$. The pairs $\{(\mu_j(n), \sigma_j(n))\}_{(j,n)\in I\times\mathbb{Z}}$ form the so-called Dirichlet data of J.

Define the isospectral torus $\mathcal{D}(E) = \mathbb{T}^I$ with the metric

$$\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{D}(E)} = \sup_{j\in I} \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi_j - \tilde{\varphi}_j\|_{\mathbb{T}}. \tag{2.6}$$

Here, we denote by $\mathbb{T}$ the topological circle $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Introduce variables φ on $\mathcal{D}(E)$ given implicitly by:

$$\mu_j = E_j^- + (E_j^+ - E_j^-)\cos^2(\frac{\varphi_j}{2}) \tag{2.7}$$

$$\sigma_j = \begin{cases} +1 & \varphi_j \in (0,\pi) + 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \\ -1 & \varphi_j \in (-\pi, 0) + 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \varphi_j \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \end{cases}. \tag{2.8}$$

Denote by $\varphi(n) := (\varphi_j(n))_{j\in I}$ the angular coordinates corresponding to $\mu_j(n)$.

The tangent space of a point on $\mathcal{D}(E)$ will be equipped with the norm

$$\|v\| = \sup_{j\in I} \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2}} |v_j| \tag{2.9}$$

and vector fields on $\mathcal{D}(E)$ will be equipped with the sup-norm obtained from (2.9). Denote the map taking a Jacobi operator (a,b) to its Dirichlet data at the origin by $\mathcal{B}$:

$$\mathcal{B}((a,b)) := \varphi(0). \tag{2.10}$$

We introduce a vector field Ψ via

$$\Psi_j(\varphi) = 2\Big((\underline{E} - \mu_j)(\overline{E} - \mu_j) \prod_{k\neq j} \frac{(E_k^- - \mu_k)(E_k^+ - \mu_k)}{(\mu_k - \mu_j)^2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We will soon see that this vector field describes the Toda flow in the discrete case. Craig initially proposed a similar vector field to describe the translation flow in the continuum case [2]. Roughly speaking, $\Psi_j(\varphi)$ is the residue of $(r(J,z))^{-1}$ at μ_j. This is not quite true in general – $r(J,z)$ does not have proper zeroes at the gap edges – but at least motivates the definition.

To ensure that the vector field Ψ is Lipschitz, we also enforce condition (1.7) on E. This condition prevents relatively large gaps from accumulating at a gap edge; we show this is analogous to Craig's conditions [2, Theorem 6.2] in Lemma 5.1.

We are interested particularly in the class of Jacobi operators which can be completely recovered from their Dirichlet data. To this end, we introduce the following

Definition 2.1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. A Jacobi operator J is said to be *reflectionless on* E if

$$\mathrm{Re}(r(J, x + i0)) = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

for Lebesgue almost every $x \in E$.

The importance of the reflectionless condition is that it allows one to recover a Jacobi operator's resolvent $r(n; z)$ from its spectral data $\{E_j^{\pm}\} \cup \{(\mu_j(n), \sigma_j(n))\}_{j \in I}$.

For a fixed positive-measure compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\mathcal{J}(E) := \{(a, b) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \times \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) : \sigma(J(a, b)) \subset E,\ J(a, b) \text{ is reflectionless on } E\}.$$

If E has $|I| < \infty$ gaps, $\mathcal{J}(E)$ can be parametrized by the real divisors on the two-sheeted genus $|I|$ Riemann surface Σ_I covering $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$. Thus, $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is homeomorphic to the real Jacobian of Σ_I, which is in turn homeomorphic (via the so-called Abel map) to a torus in $|I|$ dimensions, $\mathbb{T}^I$, by the Abel-Jacobi theorem [19].

In a remarkable paper [28], Sodin and Yuditskii showed that, if E is homogeneous in the sense of Carleson, a generalized Abel map $\mathcal{A}$ gives a homeomorphism between $\pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E) := \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus E), \mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{D}(E)$, and the restriction $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{J}(E) \to \mathcal{D}(E)$ of (2.10) is likewise a homeomorphism. What's more, they provide continuous trace formulas $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{D}(E) \to \mathbb{R}$ allowing recovery of the parametrizing sequences (see Appendix B). We denote

$$\mathcal{V}(\varphi) := (\mathcal{P}(\varphi), \mathcal{Q}(\varphi)). \tag{2.12}$$

When E is homogeneous (in the sense of Carleson), the shift action on $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is completely understood: namely, shifting corresponds exactly to translation in $\pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ by the constant vector α_E. Our condition (1.7) is, in fact, stronger than homogeneity (see Appendix A); thus, for E satisfying (1.7), we are indeed in Sodin-Yuditskii's regime.

The idea behind the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be summarized as follows: Our assumptions allow us to conclude that our initial data lies in $\mathcal{J}(E)$, that E is homogeneous, and that the trace formulas $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are valid. This will suffice to prove (1). We use this information to pass from our initial data to the Dirichlet data, and conclude global existence and uniqueness of the flow there. To show (2), we will approximate our infinite-gap operators in Dirichlet data via lifts of finite-gap operators, where this theorem is known, into our larger isospectral torus, and use uniform convergence to conclude that the result must still hold. Finally, (3) comes for free as an immediate corollary of Sodin-Yuditskii [28, Corollary of Theorem C]. The remainder of this paper is, of course, dedicated to the details.

3. Evolution of the Weyl M-matrix Under the Toda Flow

In this section, we review a number of prior results, which can be found, for example, in [29].

Under the assumptions above, we have that $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is homeomorphic to a torus of some dimension. Thus, $\mathcal{J}(E)$ can be naturally studied as a dynamical system. Consider an orbit $\{J(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of an $\mathbb{R}$-action on $\mathcal{J}(E)$. One can study these orbits by way of cocycles. By a cocycle, we mean a matrix-valued function $T : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{J}(E) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$T(g + h, J) = T(g, J(h))T(h, J),\ \ T(0, J) = I. \tag{3.1}$$

A fundamental action on $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is given by conjugation by the shift operator; namely, if $J = J(a, b)$, we are interested in $SJS^* = J(Sa, Sb)$, where $S : \delta_n \mapsto \delta_{n-1}$. We abuse notation and write SJ for this action. By fixing a solution space and canonical basis, it is possible to explicitly express S as a cocycle. Namely, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, in the 2-dimensional solution space $\ker(J - z) \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ we have a correspondence of any solution to a vector in $\mathbb{C}^2$, given by

$$u \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} u(1) \\ a_0 u(0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The corresponding basis of solutions is given by solutions e_1 and e_2 such that

$$e_1(J;z) \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.2}$$

$$e_2(J;z) \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.3}$$

and the corresponding representation of $S : \ker(J-z) \to \ker(SJ-z)$ is

$$S(J;z) := \left[Se_1(J;z) \mid Se_2(J;z)\right] \tag{3.4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_1(J)} \begin{bmatrix} z - b_1(J) & -1 \\ a_1^2(J) & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.5}$$

$S(J;z)$ is commonly referred to as a 1-step transfer matrix. Note here we have critically used the relation $Ju = zu$ to collapse the solution space to two dimensions, and that $a_n(J) \neq 0$.

We intend to study a differentiable $\mathbb{R}$-action on $\mathcal{J}(E)$ induced by the Toda lattice (1.1), (1.2). This action, initially characterized via equations relating the positions and momenta of particles on a line, was shown to be equivalent to a Lax pair (1.5), where $J(t) = (a,b)(t)$ and $P(t)$ is the antisymmetric operator given by

$$(P(t)u)_n = -a_{n-1}(t)u_{n-1} + a_n(t)u_{n+1}.$$

The importance of the Lax pair formalism is that, for any solution $J(t)$ to (1.5), for each $t_0, s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $J(t_0)$ is unitarily equivalent to $J(s_0)$ via a unitary propagator. We are thus interested in differentiable solutions $u(t)$ to $J(t)u(t) = \lambda u(t)$; in particular, those satisfying

$$\partial_t u(t) = P(t)u(t) \tag{3.6}$$

will be of some importance. Such solutions are uniquely determined by an initial value [29, Lemma 12.15]. In addition, we can express the flow via a cocycle [23, Proposition 1.3]. The key features of the Toda cocycle are summarized in the following

Proposition 3.1. [23, Proposition 1.3, Proposition 1.4],[21] *Denote by $J(t)$ the unique solution to* (1.1),(1.2)*, with initial condition $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. Then:*

(1) $J(t) \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(2) For fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the action is autonomous and admits a cocycle $T(\cdot,\cdot;z) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{J}(E) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$:

$$T(t+s, J; z) = T(t, J(s); z)T(s, J; z). \tag{3.7}$$

(3) T commutes with the shift action (and corresponding cocycle):

$$T(t, SJ; z)S(J; z) = S(J(t); z)T(t, J; z), \tag{3.8}$$

(4) For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $T(\cdot,\cdot;z)$ properly updates the Weyl solutions satisfying (3.6)*:*

$$V_\pm(J(t); z) = T(t, J; z)V_\pm(J; z) \tag{3.9}$$

(5) For each $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $T(t, J; z) \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

We now compute some relevant quantities relating to the evolution of this system.

Proposition 3.2. [21] *For fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a function $A(\cdot,\cdot;z) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{J}(E) \to \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$\dot{T}(t, J; z) = A(t, J; z)T(t, J; z), \tag{3.10}$$

given by

$$A(t, J; z) = \begin{bmatrix} (z - b_1(t)) & -2 \\ 2a_0^2(t) & -(z - b_1(t)) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $J(t) = (a,b)(t)$ is the unique solution to (1.1),(1.2)*, with initial condition $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$.*

Proof. Fix $z \in \mathbb{C}$. It is straightforward to check that

$$\dot{T}(t+s, J; z)T^{-1}(t+s, J; z) = \dot{T}(t, J(s); z)T^{-1}(t, J(s); z).$$

Set $t = 0$ and take $A(s, J; z) = \dot{T}(0, J(s); z)T^{-1}(0, J(s); z)$. Clearly, $A(s, J; z) \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ (the tangent space of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ at the identity); now merely substitute and verify the identity.

From [29, equation (12.56)], we have that $P(t; z) := P(t)|_{\ker(J(t)-z)}$ satisfies

$$P(t; z) = 2a(t)S - (z - b(t)). \tag{3.12}$$

By the definition of our cocycle and the property (3.9), one sees that

$$A(t, J; z) = \big[P(t; z)e_1(J(t); z)|P(t; z)e_2(J(t); z)\big]$$

with e_1, e_2 as defined in (3.2) (3.3). The entries A_{ij} follow from simple computations using $e_1, e_2 \in \ker(J(t) - z)$ and (3.12). □

Notice that by differentiating the right-hand side of (3.9), the vector families $V_\pm(t; z)$ are differentiable in t, and satisfy

$$\partial_t V_\pm(t; z) = A(t, J; z)V_\pm(t; z).$$

This leads to the following easy

Proposition 3.3. [29, Lemma 12.15] *The Wronskian $W(u_-, u_+)$ is independent of time:*

$$\partial_t W(u_-, u_+) = 0.$$

Define the Weyl M-matrix of a Jacobi operator as

$$M(J, z) = \begin{bmatrix} r(1, 1; J, z) & r(1, 0; J, z) \\ r(0, 1; J, z) & r(0, 0; J, z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have the following theorem describing the time-evolution of M:

Theorem 3.4. *Under the Toda flow, the Weyl M-matrix evolves by*

$$\partial_t M = BM + MB^\top, \tag{3.13}$$

where B is given by

$$B(t; z) = \begin{bmatrix} (z - b_1(t)) & -2a_0(t) \\ 2a_0(t) & -(z - b_0(t)) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. We fix $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and suppress its notation. Write

$$A_0(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0^{-1}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} M(t) :&= M(J(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2W(u_-, u_+)} A_0(t) \left(V_+(t)V_-^\top(t) + V_-(t)V_+^\top(t)\right) A_0^\top(t). \end{aligned}$$

Denoting $\tilde{T}(t, J) = A_0(t)\, T(t, J)\, A_0^{-1}(0)$, it follows from (3.9) that

$$M(t) = \tilde{T}(t, J)\, M(0)\, \tilde{T}(t, J)^\top.$$

By (3.10) and the differentiability of $a_0(t)$, we have that

$$\partial_t \tilde{T} = B\tilde{T},$$

with B given by

$$B(t) = A_0(t) \left(A + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0} \end{bmatrix} \right) A_0^{-1}(t).$$

Consequently,

$$\partial_t M(t) = B(t)M(t) + M(t)B^\top(t).$$

as claimed. □

Remark. As noted at the beginning of this section, many of these results can be found in some form in, e.g., [29, Chapter 12]. However, we have not seen Theorem 3.4 presented quite in this form. The advantage of presenting the time evolution in the form of Theorem 3.4 is that this theorem is the analogue of [24, Theorem 1].

4. The Non-Pausing of Dirichlet Data in the Non-Stationary Toda Flow

We wish to prove a Dubrovin-type evolution formula for the angular coordinates describing the Dirichlet data of the Jacobi operators under the Toda flow (1.1), (1.2). To this end, it will become important to show that the Dirichlet data do not pause at the gap edges. As long as (a, b) is a non-constant (i.e., non-stationary) solution, this pausing indeed does not occur. We clarify these statements here.

The following Proposition establishes exactly the non-pausing of the Dirichlet data at gap edges under the Toda flow:

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that E satisfies (1.7) and $(a, b) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{J}(E)$ is a non-constant solution satisfying (1.1),(1.2). Fix a $j \in I$ and let $\lambda \in \{E_j^\pm\}$. Then the set $\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \mu_j(t) = \lambda\}$ is discrete.*

Before proving this proposition, we need two important lemmas. The first will show time-invariance of subordinacy (in the sense of Gilbert and Pearson [13]); the second will demonstrate real analyticity in time of solutions.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $(a, b) : \mathbb{R} \to \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \times \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ is the solution of (1.1), (1.2), and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then subordinacy at $\pm\infty$ is time-invariant; i.e., if u_0 solving $J_0 u = \lambda u$ is subordinate at $\pm\infty$, then $u(t)$ solving $J((a, b)(t))u(t) = \lambda u(t)$, $\partial_t u(t) = P(t)u(t)$, $u(0) = u_0$ is subordinate at $\pm\infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Denote by $J(t) := J((a, b)(t))$ the Jacobi operator associated to $(a, b)(t)$, fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $K(t) = \ker(J(t) - \lambda)$. We treat only the subordinacy at $+\infty$ case, noting the $-\infty$ case is exactly analogous. Define a norm $\|\cdot\|_L$ on $\bigcup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} K(t)$ by

$$\|u\|_L^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor L\rfloor} |u_j|^2 + (L - \lfloor L\rfloor)|u_{\lfloor L\rfloor+1}|^2,$$

where $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ denotes the typical floor function. Suppose also that $u_0 \in K := K(0)$ is subordinate, i.e. for any $v_0 \in K$ which is linearly independent of u_0,

$$\lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{\|u_0\|_L}{\|v_0\|_L} = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Since P is uniformly bounded operator and u is a solution of $Ju = \lambda u$, there is some constant $C(t) > 0$ such that $|(Pu)_j| \le C(t)(|u_j| + |u_{j+1}|)$ for all $u \in K(t)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. In fact, since our parametrizing sequences $a(t), b(t)$ are uniformly bounded in t and P depends polynomially on these sequences, this constant $C(t)$ is in fact uniformly bounded (say by C) in t. Thus, if $u(t) \in K(t)$ is a solution, if we write $S(L, t) := \|u(t)\|_L^2$ (with $L \in \mathbb{Z}$ for simplicity), then we have

$$|2\sum_{j=0}^{L} \mathrm{Re}(\overline{u_j(s)}(Pu)_j(s))| \le 8C(S(L, t) + |u_{L+1}(s)|^2) \tag{4.2}$$

$$\le \tilde{C}S(L, t) \tag{4.3}$$

where the first inequality in the comes from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the definition of P [29, Equation 12.96], and the second comes from the recursion relation $Ju = \lambda u$ and the uniform boundedness of the parametrizing sequences (a, b).

Thus, by (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\frac{d}{dt}S(L,t)| &= |2\sum_{j=0}^{L}\mathrm{Re}(\overline{u_j(s)}(Pu)_j(s))| \\ &\leq \tilde{C}S(L,t)\end{aligned}$$

and, by Gronwall's inequality, it follows that

$$S(L,0)\exp(-\tilde{C}t) \leq S(L,t) \leq S(L,0)\exp(\tilde{C}t). \tag{4.4}$$

Suppose $u(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to K(\cdot)$ solves $\partial_t u = Pu$, and that $u_0 = u(0)$ is subordinate at $+\infty$. Let $v_{t_0} \in K(t_0)$ be linearly independent of $u(t_0)$. There exists a unique $v(t)$ solving $\partial_t v = Pv$, $J(t)v(t) = \lambda v(t)$ such that $v(t_0) = v_{t_0}$; what's more, this solution is linearly independent of $u(t)$ for all time by uniqueness of weak solutions [29, Theorem 12.5]. In particular, $v_0 := v(0)$ is linearly independent of u_0. Then, by (4.4) and subordinacy of u_0,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{L\to\infty}\frac{\|u(t)\|_L}{\|v(t)\|_L} &\leq \lim_{L\to\infty}\frac{\exp(2\tilde{C}t)\|u_0\|_L}{\|v_0\|_L} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $u(t)$ is subordinate at $+\infty$ in $K(t)$. □

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose E satisfies* (1.7). *Let $J(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{J}(E)$ be a solution to* (1.1),(1.2), *$\lambda \in E$, and let $u(t)$ solve $J(t)u = \lambda u$, $\partial_t u(t) = P(t)u(t)$. Then, for fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the terms $u_n(t)$ are real analytic in t.*

Proof. Because $u(t)$ solves $J(t)u = \lambda u$ and $\partial_t u = Pu$, it is differentiable in t, with derivative

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_n(t) = 2a_n(t)u_{n+1}(t) - (\lambda - b_n(t))u_n(t). \tag{4.5}$$

One recursively finds that $u_n(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$. Now, to check analyticity, it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\left(\frac{\sup_{t\in[-t_0,t_0]}|\frac{d^m}{dt^m}u_n(t)|}{m!}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} < \infty. \tag{4.6}$$

for each $t_0 > 0$.

First, note that because $J(t) \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and E is compact, the parametrizing sequences (a, b) are uniformly bounded by some constant C. Furthermore, because (a, b) solve (1.1),(1.2), they are smooth and have derivatives which are polynomials in a and b of total degree 2. At each step of differentiation, the number of terms in the polynomial doubles, and the degree of each new term increases by 1. Thus, letting $D = 4C$, one can then check via a straightforward induction that

$$\|\frac{d^m}{dt^m}a(t)\| \leq m!D^{m+1} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\|\frac{d^m}{dt^m}b(t)\| \leq m!D^{m+1} \tag{4.8}$$

Because E satisfies (1.7), E is homogeneous. It follows that the Lyapunov exponent agrees with the value of the spectral Green's function on E (by Proposition B.3). In particular, we have that the Lyapunov exponent at spectral energies must be zero, i.e. the solution u can grow at most sub-exponentially in the spatial variable n.

Equations (4.7) and (4.8) and the sub-exponential growth of the terms u_n combined with the differential relation (4.5) imply that (4.6) holds, and thus $u_n(t)$ is indeed real analytic. □

With Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 in hand, we can address the

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Separating the term $l = j$ in the product formula (2.2), we write

$$r(n,n;z,t) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{(\mu_j(n,t)-z)^2}{(E_j^+ - z)(E_j^- - z)}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{(\underline{E}-z)(\overline{E}-z)}\prod_{l\neq j}\frac{(\mu_l(n,t)-z)^2}{(E_l^- - z)(E_l^+ - z)}}.$$

We can do this because (a,b) is non-constant. Note that, as $z \to \lambda$, if $\mathrm{Re}(z) \in [E_j^-, E_j^+]$, the product over $l \neq j$ has a finite limit (since the l^{th} term is bounded in modulus by $1 + \gamma_l/\eta_{l,j}$). We can therefore conclude that

$$|r(n,n;\lambda + i0,t)| = \begin{cases} 0 & \mu_j(n,t) = \lambda \\ +\infty & \mu_j(n,t) \neq \lambda \end{cases}. \tag{4.9}$$

Thus, if $\mu_j(n_0,t_0) = \lambda$ for some $(n_0,t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R}$, we have that one of $m_\pm(\lambda + i0) = \infty$ by the relationship (2.4). By shifting and flowing appropriately, suppose without loss of generality that $\mu_j(0,0) = \lambda$. Suppose first that $m_+(\lambda+i0) = \infty$. Then, by the important inequality of Jitomirskaya-Last [14, Theorem 1.1], [15], it follows that, up to a multiplicative constant, the solution $e_1(J(0);\lambda)$ is the unique nontrivial solution subordinate at $+\infty$ for the energy λ. Ostensibly, there may be some t_0 such that $\mu_j(0,t_0) = \lambda$ and $m_-(\lambda + i0) = \infty$. Again by Jitomirskaya-Last, it follows that the solution $e_1(J(t_0);\lambda)$ is the unique nontrivial solution subordinate at $-\infty$ for λ. In either case, denote by $\tilde{u}_+(t)$ the unique weak solution $\tilde{u}_+$ of $J(t)u = \lambda u$, $\partial_t u = P(t)u$ with $\tilde{u}_+(0) = e_1(J(0);\lambda)$, and by $\tilde{u}_-(t)$ the unique weak solution with $\tilde{u}_-(t_0) = e_1(J(t_0);\lambda)$.

Noting that subordinacy is invariant under the shift and Toda flow by Lemma 4.2, then by the uniqueness of the subordinate solution and Jitomirskaya-Last, it follows that

$$\{t\in\mathbb{R} : \mu_j(t) = \lambda\} \subset \{t\in\mathbb{R} : \tilde{u}_{+,0}(t) = 0\} \cup \{t\in\mathbb{R} : \tilde{u}_{-,0}(t) = 0\}, \tag{4.10}$$

where one may have to exclude one of the two sets on the right-hand side in the event the corresponding solution $\tilde{u}_\pm$ does not exist.

We now prove this set is discrete. By our assumptions, Lemma 4.3 applies to $\tilde{u}_\pm$, and the zeros of $\tilde{u}_\pm$ are either discrete or $\tilde{u}_{\pm,0}(t) = 0$ for all t. Suppose we are in the latter case. Then $\partial_t \tilde{u}_{\pm,0}(t) = 0$ for all t. But then, because $\tilde{u}_{\pm,0}(t) = 0$ for all t,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \tilde{u}_{\pm,0}(t) &= 2a_n(t)\tilde{u}_{\pm,1}(t)\\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

However, $\tilde{u}_\pm$ is non-trivial, so $\tilde{u}_{\pm,1}(t) \neq 0$, and $a_n(t)$ is non-zero by the non-singularity of $(a,b) \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. This is a contradiction. Thus, it follows that the set $\{t\in\mathbb{R} : \mu_j(t) = \lambda\}$ is subset of a union of two discrete sets, and thus is itself discrete. □

Remark. The thorough reader may notice that the proof of 4.1 varies significantly from that of its continuum analogue, [1, Proposition 2.1]. There, a critical step in the proof relied on oscillation theory to find a site where the Dirichlet eigenvalue μ_j lies within the open gap (E_j^-, E_j^+). We avoid this issue completely via the non-triviality of the subordinate solution and the differential relation $\partial_t u = Pu$. However, our proof fails to show something we suspect to be true: that the "pair" $u_\pm$ is in fact just one solution, subordinate at both $\pm\infty$.

5. A Dubrovin-Type Formula for the Toda Flow

We wish to implement a vector field over $\mathcal{D}(E)$ which describes the time evolution of the Dirichlet data via the Toda flow. We begin by proving an analogue of [2, Theorem 6.2]:

Lemma 5.1. *If E satisfies* (1.7)*, then Ψ is a Lipschitz vector field on $\mathcal{D}(E)$.*

Proof. This proof is simply the analogue of [2, Theorem 6.2]. Recall the terms C_j from (1.6). A simple estimate shows $\|\Psi_j\|_\infty \leq C_j$ (cf. [2, Lemma 6.1]), and thus $\|\Psi_j\|_{\mathcal{D}(E)}$ is finite by (1.7) (since the η_j is uniformly bounded above, $\sup_j \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2}} C_j < \infty$).

Next, note that Ψ_j is differentiable in the directions φ_k, with derivative

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial \varphi_k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu_j - \mu_k} \Psi_j \gamma_k \sin(\varphi_k) & k \neq j \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_j - \underline{E}} + \frac{1}{\mu_j - \overline{E}} + \sum_{l \neq j} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_j - E_l^+} + \frac{1}{\mu_j - E_l^-} - \frac{2}{\mu_j - \mu_l} \right) \right) \Psi_j \gamma_j \sin(\varphi_j) & k = j \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Another basic estimate shows that

$$\|\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(\varphi)\| \leq \sup_j \left(\sum_k \frac{\gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\gamma_k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \| \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial \varphi_k} \| \right) \|\theta - \varphi\|.$$

Splitting the sum in two, we estimate the sum away from j:

$$\sup_j \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\gamma_k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \| \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial \varphi_k} \| \leq \sup_j \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_{j,k}} C_j.$$

This is uniformly bounded by (1.7).

To estimate the j^{th} term, note that we can rewrite

$$\frac{1}{\mu_j - E_l^+} + \frac{1}{\mu_j - E_l^-} - \frac{2}{\mu_j - \mu_l} = \frac{\mu_k - E_k^+}{(E_k^- - \mu_j)(\mu_k - \mu_j)} + \frac{\mu_k - E_k^-}{(E_k^+ - \mu_j)(\mu_k - \mu_j)}.$$

Then we have from (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \| \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial \phi_j} \| &\leq \sup_j \left(\frac{\gamma_j}{\eta_j} + \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\gamma_j \gamma_k}{\eta_{j,k}^2} \right) C_j \\ &\leq \sup_j \left(\frac{\gamma_j}{\eta_j} + \left(\sum_{k \neq j} \frac{(\gamma_j \gamma_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_{j,k}} \right)^2 \right) C_j. \end{aligned}$$

This quantity is again uniformly bounded by (1.7). So indeed, all of the relevant sums are uniformly bounded, and we have that

$$\sup_j \left(\sum_k \frac{\gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\gamma_k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \| \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial \varphi_k} \| \right) < \infty,$$

and the vector field is Lipschitz, as claimed. □

We now show that the vector field Ψ describes the Toda flow on $\mathcal{D}(E)$:

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose E satisfies* (1.7)*, and let $(a,b)(t)$ solve* (1.1),(1.2)*, and* (1.3) *with $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. Then, the corresponding function $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ is differentiable in t and obeys*

$$\partial_t \varphi_j(t) = \Psi_j(\varphi(t)) \tag{5.2}$$

We prove part of this proposition away from gap edges:

Lemma 5.3. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.2, at any t such that $\varphi_j(t) \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$, $\varphi_j(t)$ is differentiable and obeys* (5.2)*.*

Proof. The M-matrix is analytic in $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E$. It has a removable singularity at the Dirichlet eigenvalues, where it is equal to

$$M(J(t), \mu_j(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} m_{-\sigma_j(t)}(\mu_j(t)) & -\frac{\sigma_j(t)}{2a_0(t)} \\ -\frac{\sigma_j(t)}{2a_0(t)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5.3}$$

Recall that M_{22} is exactly the diagonal Green's function $r(J(t), z)$.

At any t such that $\mu_j(t) \in (E_j^-, E_j^+)$, by applying the implicit function theorem to the relation $r(J(t), \mu_j(t)) = 0$, we have that $\mu_j(t)$ is differentiable, and

$$\frac{\partial \mu_j}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial_t r|_{z=\mu_j}}{\partial_z r|_{z=\mu_j}} \tag{5.4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}((E_j^+ - \mu_j)(E_j^- - \mu_j))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_j(\varphi)(\partial_t M_{22}(J(t), z)|_{z=\mu_j}). \tag{5.5}$$

By (3.13) and (3.11), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t M_{22}(J(t), z)|_{z=\mu_j} &= 2(B_{21}M_{12} + B_{22}M_{22})|_{z=\mu_j} \\ &= -2\sigma_j(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain an expression for $\dfrac{\partial \mu_j}{\partial t}$ in terms of the Dirichlet data:

$$\frac{\partial \mu_j}{\partial t} = -\sigma_j(t)((E_j^+ - \mu_j)(E_j^- - \mu_j))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_j(\varphi) \tag{5.6}$$

By Proposition 5.1, we likewise find that μ_j is continuous in (E_j^-, E_j^+).

Next, we claim that $\sigma_j(t)$ is constant while $\mu_j(t) \in (E_j^-, E_j^+)$. Indeed,

$$\partial_t(M_{12}(J(t), z) + M_{21}(J(t), z)) = \operatorname{tr}(B)(M_{12} + M_{21}) + \operatorname{tr}(M)(B_{12} + B_{21}). \tag{5.7}$$

Evaluating at $\mu_j(t)$ via (5.3) and noting that $\operatorname{tr}(B) = -\frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0}$ and that B is anti-symmetric, (5.7) becomes

$$-\frac{\partial_t \sigma_j}{a_0} + \sigma_j \frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0^2} = \sigma_j \frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0^2},$$

Thus, $\partial_t \sigma_j = 0$ in this situation, and $\sigma_j(t)$ is constant in t while $\mu_j(t) \in (E_j^-, E_j^+)$.

Remark. Note that, as a result of the $a_0(t)$ factors in the Weyl M-matrix, this aspect of the proof varies slightly from the continuum case in [1]. Namely, differentiating the off-diagonal terms picks up an additional summand. However, the fact that B is no longer traceless saves the day here.

Thus, from (2.7) and (2.8), we conclude that $\varphi_j(n, t)$ is likewise differentiable in t, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mu_j}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{2}(E_j^+ - E_j^-)\sin(\varphi_j)\frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial t} \\ &= -\sigma_j((E_j^+ - \mu_j)(E_j^- - \mu_j))^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial t}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for $\frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial t}$ and utilizing (5.6) concludes the proof. □

Lemma 5.4 ([1])**.** *$\varphi(t)$ is continuous.*

Proof. cf. [1], Lemma 3.4. □

In particular, φ is uniformly continuous on compacts. We can now prove Proposition 5.2:

Proof of Proposition 5.2. To show differentiability, Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 4.1 reduce the proof to the case where $\varphi_j(t_0) \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose $\varphi_j(t_0) \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 4.1, we can shrink ε such that $\{t \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) : \varphi_j(t) \in \pi\mathbb{Z}\} = \{t_0\}$. The remainder of the proof is straightforward. By continuity, Lemma 5.3, and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we have

$$\int_{t_0}^{t} \Psi_j(\varphi_j(\tau))d\tau = \varphi_j(t) - \varphi_j(t_0), \quad t \in (t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon)$$

(and similarly for $t \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0)$). Consequently, the derivative of $\varphi_j(t)$ exists at t_0, and

$$\partial_t \varphi_j(t)|_{t=t_0} = \Psi_j(\varphi(t_0)).$$

This exhausts all cases, and completes the proof. □

6. Linearization of the Toda Flow

In this section, we will consider the behavior of the finite-gap solutions $(a,b)^N$ to the Toda lattice and their limit (a,b) with respect to the generalized Abel map of Sodin-Yuditskii [28], and finally prove Theorem 1.1. To prove these facts, we will need to recall additional details from the work of Sodin and Yuditskii [27, 28]. We assume throughout that E is homogeneous.

For what follows, we assume that the gaps of E are indexed by the positive integers, i.e. $I = \mathbb{N}$. This doesn't reduce generality, because in the case where I is finite, these results are already known [12]. Denote

$$E^N = [\underline{E}, \overline{E}] \setminus \bigcup_{j \leq N} (E_j^-, E_j^+)$$

and denote the corresponding isospectral torus by $\mathcal{D}(E^N)$ and corresponding trace maps $\mathcal{V}^N$, vector field Ψ^N, and Sodin-Yuditskii translation constant $\alpha^N \in \Gamma^*_{E^N}$.

In their paper, Sodin-Yuditskii introduce functions $\xi_j(z)$ for each gap (E_j^-, E_j^+) of E. The map $\xi_j(z)$ is the solution of the Dirichlet problem on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ with boundary conditions given by

$$\xi_j(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in E, x \geq E_j^+ \\ 0 & x \in E, x \leq E_j^- \end{cases}. \tag{6.1}$$

The regularity of E implies that $\xi_j(z)$ is a continuous function on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and a harmonic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$, with values on E given by 6.1.

Let $\pi(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ be the fundamental group of $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$. It is a free group with the set of generators given by $\{c_j\}_{j \in I}$, where c_j is a counterclockwise simple loop intersecting $\mathbb{R}$ at $\underline{E} - 1$ and $(E_j^+ + E_j^-)/2$.

Following Sodin-Yuditskii, consider the group $\pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ of unimodular characters of $\pi(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$, with additive notation for the composition law. An element $\alpha \in \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ is uniquely determined by its action on loops c_j, so we can write $\alpha = \{\alpha_j\}_{j \in I}$, where $\alpha_j = \alpha(c_j) \in \mathbb{T}$. Endow $\pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ with the topology dual to the discrete topology on $\pi(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$; one metric which would induce this topology is

$$d(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}) = \sum_{j \in I} \min(|\alpha_j - \tilde{\alpha}_j|, \gamma_j), \ \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \in \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$$

where $\gamma_j = E_j^+ - E_j^-$, as before. An important realization about this topology is that projections onto the first N coordinates converge uniformly to the identity (since $\sum \gamma_j < \infty$).

Sodin-Yuditskii define the Abel map $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{D}(E) \to \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ by defining its components $\mathcal{A}_j := \mathcal{A}_j(c_j)$,

$$\mathcal{A}_j(\varphi) = \pi \sum_{k \in I} \sigma_k(\xi_j(\mu_k) - \xi_j(E_k^-)) \mod 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \tag{6.2}$$

where, as before, we assume μ_k, σ_k are given by φ_k as in (2.7), (2.8). They then prove the following:

(1) For each j, the sum in (6.2) converges absolutely and uniformly in $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(E)$; in particular, the map $\mathcal{A}$ is well-defined.

(2) $\mathcal{A}$ is a homeomorphism between $\mathcal{D}(E)$ and $\pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ linearizing the translation flow: there exists $\alpha_E \in \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(\varphi(n)) = \mathcal{A}(\varphi(0)) + n\alpha_E.$$

This, in turn, uniquely defines $\varphi(n)$ given $\varphi(0)$; thus, $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{J}(E) \to \mathcal{D}(E)$ is a bijection (in fact, a homeomorphism).

(3) Denote by $\mathcal{A}^N : \mathcal{D}(E^N) \to \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E^N)$ the Abel map for E^N. Project $\mathcal{D}(E)$ to $\mathcal{D}(E^N)$ by truncation and embed $\pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E^N)$ into $\pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ by assuming $\alpha^N(c_j) = 0$ for $j > N$. With these conventions, consider $\mathcal{A}^N$ as a map from $\mathcal{D}(E)$ to $\pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$. Then, $\mathcal{A}^N \to \mathcal{A}$ as $N \to \infty$, uniformly on $\mathcal{D}(E)$.

This extended Abel map $\mathcal{A}$ was introduced to generalize the notion of Jacobi inversion, which exists in the finite-gap setting. We will use this language to reinterpret the Toda and translation flows on the Dirichlet data:

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose E satisfies (1.7), and let $f \in \mathcal{D}(E)$. Then there exists a function $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{D}(E)$ such that $\varphi(0,0) = f$, and*

$$\varphi(n+1,t) = \mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(n,t)) + \alpha), \tag{6.3}$$

$$\partial_t \varphi(n,t) = \Psi(\varphi(n,t)). \tag{6.4}$$

If we define $(a,b) : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$(a,b) = \mathcal{V} \circ \varphi$$

then the function (a,b) satisfies (1.1),(1.2), and (1.3). Moreover, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $(a,b)(t) \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, and $\mathcal{B}((a,b)(t)) = \varphi(0,t)$.

Proof. This is an immediate corollary of the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the Toda IVP [29, Theorem 12.6], the preservation of the reflection coefficients under the Toda flow [23, Proposition 1.4], and the work of Sodin-Yuditskii [28, Theorem C]. □

We will prove Theorem 1.1 via approximation, and begin by constructing our finite-gap approximants. Starting from an element $f \in \mathcal{D}(E)$, observe the functions $\varphi^N : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{D}(E^N)$ solving

$$\partial_t \varphi^N(n,t) = \Psi^N(\varphi^N(n,t)), \tag{6.5}$$

$$\varphi^N(n+1,t) = (\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^N(\varphi^N(n,t)) + \alpha^N) \tag{6.6}$$

obeying initial condition

$$\varphi_j^N(0,0) = f_j,\ j \le N.$$

This uniquely determines the function φ^N, by results of [12] (although condition (6.3) appears here in a different, but equivalent, form).

Furthermore, by [12], the function $(a,b)^N = \mathcal{V}^N \circ \varphi^N$ has the following properties:

(1) For every t, $J^N(t) := (a,b)^N(t)$ is almost periodic and the Jacobi operator $J^N(t)$ has spectrum E^N.
(2) $(a,b)^N$ satisfies the Toda lattice (1.1),(1.2).
(3) The Dirichlet data of $(a,b)^N$ are φ^N.

Our ultimate goal will be to compare trajectories on finite-gap approximants to our isospectral torus. To do so, we employ a lemma from [1], which we quote here for convenience:

Lemma 6.2. [1, Lemma 4.4] *Assume that U, $\tilde{U}$ are Lipschitz vector fields on $\mathcal{D}(E)$, with Lipschitz constants less than or equal to L. Consider solutions $\phi, \tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{D}(E)$ of $\partial_t \phi = U(\phi)$, $\partial_t \tilde{\phi} = \tilde{U}(\tilde{\phi})$. Then*

$$\|\phi(t) - \tilde{\phi}(t)\|_{\mathcal{D}(E)} \le 2\left(\|\phi(0) - \tilde{\phi}(0)\|_{\mathcal{D}(E)} + C\right) e^{m|t|}, \tag{6.7}$$

where $m = 2L\log(2)$ and

$$C = \frac{1}{L} \sup_{j \in I} \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \min(2\pi, \|U_j - \tilde{U}_j\|).$$

To apply this lemma to compare trajectories on different isospectral tori, we lift all the fields and solutions to $\mathcal{D}(E)$ as follows.

There is a natural projection $\pi^N : \mathcal{D}(E) \to \mathcal{D}(E^N)$ given by

$$\pi(\phi)_j = \phi_j,\ j \leq N.$$

Lift the trace formulas to $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^N : \mathcal{D}(E) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^N = \mathcal{V}^N \circ \pi.$$

Introduce the vector fields $\tilde{\Psi}^N$ on $\mathcal{D}(E)$ by

$$\tilde{\Psi}^N_j(\phi) = \begin{cases} \Psi^N_j(\pi(\phi)) & j \leq N \\ \Psi_j(\phi) & j > N. \end{cases}$$

To define $\tilde{\varphi}^N : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{D}(E)$, set the initial value

$$\tilde{\varphi}^N(0,0) = f,$$

and flow via

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{\varphi}^N(0,t) &= \tilde{\Psi}^N(\tilde{\varphi}^N(0,t)),\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \tilde{\varphi}^N_j(n,t) &= \begin{cases} ((\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^N(\tilde{\varphi}^N(0,t)) + n\alpha^N))_j & j \leq N \\ ((\mathcal{A})^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\varphi}^N(0,t)) + n\alpha))_j & j > N \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

With these definitions, one can check that

$$\varphi^N = \pi \circ \tilde{\varphi}^N.$$

In particular, note that $\mathcal{V}^N(\varphi^N) = \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^N(\tilde{\varphi}^N)$. Here, it is important that we established that Ψ (and, consequently, $\tilde{\Psi}^N$) is Lipschitz to guarantee existence and uniqueness of the functions $\tilde{\varphi}^N$.

Finally, introduce $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{D}(E)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0,0) &= f, \\ \varphi(n+1,0) &= \mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(n,0)) + \alpha),\ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \partial_t \varphi(n,t) &= \Psi(\varphi(n,t)),\ \forall (n,t) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

It will become important to consider the convergence of the inverses of the finite-gap Abel maps $\mathcal{A}^N$. By an abuse of notation, we will denote by $(\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1} : \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E) \to \mathcal{D}(E)$ the lift of the proper inverse of $\mathcal{A}^N$, such that

$$((\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^N)(\phi))_j = \begin{cases} \phi_j & j \leq N \\ 0 & j > N \end{cases}.$$

Under these assumptions, it is a straightforward exercise to prove

Lemma 6.3. *$(\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1}$ converges uniformly to $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$.*

Proof. By [27, Equation (4.1.2)], convergence of $\mathcal{A}^N$ to $\mathcal{A}$ is uniform, and by the definition of the metric on $\pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ and compactness, lifted projections $\tilde{\alpha}^N$ of a $\alpha \in \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ likewise converge uniformly to α. Since $\pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$ is compact, $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ is uniformly continuous.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, and find the corresponding uniform δ for $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$. There exists an integer N_0 such that for all $N > N_0$, for all $\alpha \in \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$, $d(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}^N) < \delta/2$ and $\|\mathcal{A}^N - \mathcal{A}\|_\infty < \delta/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\alpha)) - \mathcal{A}((\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1}(\alpha))\| &\leq \|\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}^N\| + \|\mathcal{A}^N - \mathcal{A}\|_\infty \\ &< \delta/2 + \delta/2 = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by uniform continuity of $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$, for $N > N_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\alpha))) - \mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}((\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1}(\alpha)))\| &= \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\alpha) - (\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1}(\alpha)\| \\ &< \varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $(\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1}$ converges uniformly to $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$. □

Lemma 6.4. *Suppose E satisfies (1.7), and let $K > 0$. Then there exists $m > 0$ and constants K_N such that $\lim_{N\to\infty} K_N = 0$ and, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\sup_{|n|\le K} \|\tilde{\varphi}^N(n,t) - \varphi(n,t)\| \le K_N e^{m|t|}. \tag{6.8}$$

Proof. By our assumptions on E and Lemma 5.1, Ψ is a Lipschitz vector field. The proof of this fact gives upper bounds for the Lipschitz constants in terms of gap sizes and distances, so it also applies to $\tilde{\Psi}^N$, giving uniform Lipschitz estimates in N. Denote by L such an upper bound on the Lipschitz constant which works for all $\tilde{\Psi}^N$ and Ψ.

In particular, under these assumptions, the values $\tilde{\varphi}^N(0,t)$ and $\varphi(0,t)$ defined above are all uniquely determined by existence and uniqueness theorems for differential equations, and consequently $\tilde{\varphi}^N(n,t)$ and $\varphi(n,t)$ are uniquely determined for each n.

One can show (cf. [1, Lemma 4.5]) that, for $j \le N$, we have

$$\|\Psi_j - \tilde{\Psi}_j^N\| \le 2(C_j - C_{j,N})$$

where C_j is defined as in 1.6 and

$$C_{j,N} = (\overline{E} - \underline{E}) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{l\le N\\ l\ne j}} \frac{\gamma_l}{\eta_{j,l}}\right).$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|\Psi_j - \tilde{\Psi}_j^N\| = 0.$$

Let $m = 2L\log(2)$ and let

$$\tilde{K}_N = \frac{1}{L}\sup_{j\le N} \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \max(2\pi, \|\Psi_j - \tilde{\Psi}_j^N\|).$$

Since $\gamma_j \to 0$, it follows that $\tilde{K}_N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

Applying Lemma 6.2 to compare trajectories of the vector fields $\tilde{\Psi}^N$ and Ψ with initial condition f, we find

$$\|\tilde{\varphi}^N(n,t) - \varphi(n,t)\| \le (2\|\tilde{\varphi}^N(n,0) - \varphi(n,0)\| + \tilde{K}_N)e^{m|t|}.$$

To verify our claim, it suffices to show $\sup_{|n|\le K} \|\tilde{\varphi}^N(n,0) - \varphi(n,0)\| \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$. We analyze by entry. There are two cases. First, if $j > N$, we have that $|\tilde{\varphi}_j^N(n,0) - \varphi_j(n,0)| = 0$. Otherwise, if $j \le N$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{\varphi}_j^N(n,0) - \varphi_j(n,0)| &= |((\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^N(f) + n\alpha^N))_j - \mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(f) + n\alpha)_j| \\ &\le |((\mathcal{A}^N)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^N(f) + n\alpha^N))_j - \mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^N(f) + n\alpha^N))_j| \\ &\quad + |\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^N(f) + n\alpha^N))_j - \mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(f) + n\alpha)_j|.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.3 and the uniform convergence of $\mathcal{A}^N$ and α^N to $\mathcal{A}$ and α respectively [27], it follows that these terms go to zero uniformly in N for each $|n| \le K$.

Taking $K_N = 2\max(\tilde{K}_N, 2\sup_{|n|\le K} \|\tilde{\varphi}^N(n,0) - \varphi(n,0)\|)$, the claim is proved. □

In the finite-gap setting, it is known that Jacobi inversion linearizes both translation and Toda flows [12, Theorem 1.41]. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{A}^N(\varphi^N(n,t)) = \mathcal{A}^N(\varphi^N(0,0)) + n\alpha^N + \zeta^N t \tag{6.9}$$

for some $\alpha^N, \zeta^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Define the map

$$\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{B}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{A}^{-1} : \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E) \to \mathcal{J}(E).$$

If E satisfies (1.7), then the map $\mathcal{M}$ is a homeomorphism by the considerations of Sodin and Yuditskii [28]. We will use this map and proceed as in [1] to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since J_0 is almost periodic and $\sigma(J_0) = \sigma_{ac}(J_0) = E$, a result of Remling [22, Theorem 1.4] implies that $J_0 \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. By [29, Theorem 12.6], there is a unique solution $J(t)$ to (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), and by [23, Proposition 1.4], $J(t) \in \mathcal{J}(E)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, the solution $J(t)$ is uniformly bounded in time. By Section 3 and Sodin-Yuditskii [28, Theorem C], the Dirichlet data $\varphi(n,t) := \mathcal{B}(S^n J(t))$ obey

$$\varphi(n+1,t) = \mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\varphi(n,t)) + \alpha),\ \partial_t \varphi(n,t) = \Psi(\varphi(n,t)). \tag{6.10}$$

We now recall the functions $\tilde{\varphi}^N(n,t)$ introduced above. Since $\tilde{\varphi}^N \to \varphi$ uniformly on compacts and $\mathcal{A}^N \to \mathcal{A}$ uniformly, we can conclude that $\mathcal{A}^N(\tilde{\varphi}^N(n,t))$ converges uniformly on compacts to $\mathcal{A}(\varphi(n,t))$. Note that, by definition, $\mathcal{A}_j^N(\tilde{\varphi}^N(n,t)) = \mathcal{A}_j^N(\varphi^N(n,t))$ for $j \leq N$. Taking the j^{th} component of (6.9), it follows from uniform convergence that the limits

$$\alpha_j = \lim_{N\to\infty} \alpha_j^N,$$
$$\zeta_j = \lim_{N\to\infty} \zeta_j^N$$

exist, and

$$\mathcal{A}_j(\varphi(n,t)) = \mathcal{A}_j(\varphi(0,0)) + n\alpha_j + \zeta_j t. \tag{6.11}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{M}^{-1}((a,b)(t)) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(J_0) + \zeta t$. This proves the time almost periodicity of solutions.

Finally, the spatial almost periodicity of solutions and the equivalence of frequency modules follow from the considerations of Sodin-Yuditskii [28]. □

Appendix A. Homogeneity as a Consequence of Craig's Condition

Denote by $g_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E}(z)$ the potential-theoretic Green's function for the domain $\mathbb{C}\setminus E$ with a logarithmic pole at ∞. We call E (potential-theoretically) regular if

$$\lim_{\substack{z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus E \\ z\to x}} g_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E}(z) = 0,\ \forall x \in E$$

One can check that $g_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E}(z)$ has exactly one critical point c_j within each gap (E_j^-, E_j^+). The set E is called Parreau-Widom if

$$\sum_{j\in I} g_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E}(c_j) < \infty.$$

We will focus on sets E which are Regular and Parreau-Widom. We write $E \in [RPW]$ in this case.

We restrict the class of admissible sets even further. Consider the uniformization $z : \mathbb{D} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$, and let $\theta : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the Blaschke product with zeros at $\{z^{-1}(c_j)\}_{j\in I}$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E)$ is isomorphic to a Fuchsian group Γ_E acting on $\mathbb{D}$. Acting by this group preserves the modulus of θ: $|\theta(\gamma\cdot\zeta)| = |\theta(\zeta)|$. Thus, there is a function $\nu : \Gamma_E \to \mathbb{T}$ (the "character of θ") such that $\theta(\gamma\cdot\zeta) = e^{2\pi i\nu(\gamma)}\theta(\zeta)$. Denote by $H^1(\nu)$ the set of all integrable holomorphic functions on the

disk which are unimodular under the action of Γ_E with character ν. We say that E satisfies the Direct Cauchy Theorem if

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{f}{\theta} dm = \frac{f(0)}{\theta(0)}, \ \ \forall f \in H^1(\nu),$$

where dm is normalized arc-length measure on the unit circle. We write $E \in [DCT]$ in this case.

Sodin and Yuditskii show that a more explicit criterion on E implies $E \in [RPW] \cap [DCT]$:

Theorem A.1 (Theorem H, [28])**.** *Suppose E is homogeneous in the sense of Carleson, i.e., there exist constants $\tau > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $x \in E$ and $0 < r < \delta$,*

$$|E \cap (x - r, x + r)| \geq \tau r.$$

Then $E \in [RPW] \cap [DCT]$.

The following lemma is due to Sodin [20, 26]. For the sake of completeness, we present Sodin's proof here.

Lemma A.2. *Let $K = [\underline{E}, \overline{E}] \setminus \bigcup_{j\in I}(E_j^-, E_j^+) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a set satisfying condition* (1.7)*. Then K is a homogeneous set. Moreover, the homogeneity constant τ depends only on $\underline{E}$, $\overline{E}$, and the value of the supremum in* (1.7)*.*

Proof. First observe that for any fixed $\tau > 0$, an intersection of a decreasing sequence of τ-homogenous sets with uniform δ is always τ-homogeneous, so it is enough to prove the assertion of the lemma for a finite collection I. Let us denote

$$C = 1 + \sup_{j\in I} \left(\sum_{k\neq j} \frac{(\gamma_j\gamma_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_{j,k}} \right) \tag{A.1}$$

Note the supremum in (A.1) is finite by condition (1.7), since $C_j \geq \overline{E} - \underline{E}$. By re-scaling, we can also assume that $\underline{E} = 0$, $\overline{E} = 1$. Note that it implies that for all $j \in I$, $\gamma_j \leq 1$.

We will use a condition which follows from (A.1): if $\gamma_k \geq \delta\gamma_j$ then

$$\eta_{j,k} \geq \frac{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_j}{C} \tag{A.2}$$

Indeed, by (A.1), we have for any $j \in I$

$$C \geq \sum_{k\neq j} \frac{(\gamma_j\gamma_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_{j,k}} \geq \sum_{k\neq j,\ \gamma_k\geq\delta\gamma_j} \frac{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_j}{\eta_{j,k}}.$$

Now let us fix $\delta = \frac{1}{4C^2}$.

For an interval $I_j = (E_j^-, E_j^+)$, consider a concentric interval I_j' of the length $\left(1 + \frac{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{C}\right)\gamma_j$. Then, by (A.2), if $I_k \cap I_j' \neq \emptyset$ then $\gamma_k \leq \delta\gamma_j \leq \delta$. Note also that for these k we have $\eta_{j,k} \leq \frac{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_j}{C}$.

Thus, by (A.1) we get

$$C \geq \sum_{k\neq j, I_k\cap I_j'\neq\emptyset} \frac{\gamma_k}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta_{j,k}} \geq \sum_{k\neq j, I_k\cap I_j'\neq\emptyset} \frac{\gamma_k}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{C}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_j}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{k\neq j, I_k\cap I_j'\neq\emptyset} \gamma_k < \delta\gamma_j$$

It implies that, by our choice of δ,

$$\left|K \cap I_j'\right| \geq \left(1 + \frac{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{C}\right)\gamma_j - \gamma_j - \delta\gamma_j = \frac{\gamma_j}{4C^2} \tag{A.3}$$

Using the procedure outlined on page 298 of [16] we can establish that one can find subsets $K_j \subset K \cap I_j'$ satisfying

$$|K_j| \geq \frac{\gamma_j}{8C^2} \tag{A.4}$$

and

$$\sum_j \chi_{K_j}(t) \leq 100C^2 \tag{A.5}$$

Indeed, consider

$$h_j(t) = \sum_{\{k\,:\,\gamma_k \leq \gamma_j\}} \chi_K(t)\chi_{I_k'}(t)\chi_{I_j'}(t),$$

and estimate its integral over $[0,1]$, which is equal to $\sum_{\{k\,:\,\gamma_k \leq \gamma_j\}} \left|K \cap I_k' \cap I_j'\right|$.

Observe that $I_k' \cap I_j' = \emptyset$ whenever $\eta_{j,k} > 2\delta\gamma_j$. Thus

$$\int_0^1 h_j(t)\,dt \leq \sum_{\{k\,:\,\gamma_k \leq \gamma_j, \eta_{j,k} \leq \delta\gamma_j\}} |K \cap I_k'| \leq (1+\delta) \sum_{\{k\,:\,\gamma_k \leq \gamma_j, \eta_{j,k} \leq \delta\gamma_j\}} |I_k|\,.$$

Since the intervals I_k are pairwise disjoint, the last sum is bounded above by the length of the $(1+2\delta)$-neighbourhood of I_j. Thus

$$\int_0^1 h_j(t)\,dt \leq (1+\delta)(3+4\delta)\gamma_j \leq 20\gamma_j$$

Let now $L_j = \left\{t\,:\,h_j(t) \geq 100C^2\right\}$. By Tschebyshev's inequality,

$$|L_j| \leq \frac{\gamma_j}{5C^2}$$

Thus, if we define $K_j = K \cap I_j' \setminus L_j$, then (A.3) implies condition (A.4).

If $\sum_j \chi_{K_j}(t) > 100C^2$ then for the j corresponding to the longest interval among I_j' containing t, we have $h_j(t) > 100C^2$. But for $t \in K_j$ we always have $h_j(t) < 100C^2$. This contradiction establishes (A.5).

Now let us fix $x \in K$ and $t > 0$. Notice that

$$2t = |K \cap (x-t, x+t)| + \sum_{I_j' \subset (x-t,x+t)} \gamma_j + \sum_{I_j \cap (x-t,x+t) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } I_j' \setminus (x-t,x+t) \neq \emptyset} |I_j \cap (x-t, x+t)| \tag{A.6}$$

To obtain the desired homogeneity, we need to estimate the second and the third term in the decomposition. To bound the second term, note that by (A.4) and (A.5)

$$\sum_{I_j' \subset (x-t,\,x+t)} \gamma_j \leq 8C^2 \sum_{I_j' \subset (x-t,\,x+t)} |K_j| \leq 800C^4\,|K \cap (x-t,\,x+t)| \tag{A.7}$$

Let us now prove that for some constant $\rho = \rho(C)$ we have

$$\sum_{I_j \cap (x-t,x+t) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } I_j' \setminus (x-t,x+t) \neq \emptyset} |I_j \cap (x-t, x+t)| \leq (2-\rho)t \tag{A.8}$$

Indeed, let

$$t_- = \inf\left\{x - E_j^+\,:\,I_j \cap (x-t, x) \neq \emptyset,\ x - t \in I_j'\right\}$$

and

$$t_+ = \inf\left\{E_j^- - x\,:\,I_j \cap (x, x+t) \neq \emptyset,\ x + t \in I_j'\right\}$$

Notice that the left hand side of (A.8) is bounded above by $(t - t_-) + (t - t_+) = 2t - (t_- + t_+)$. In the case $t_- + t_+ \geq t/4$, (A.8) follows with $\rho = 1/4$.

Consider now the case $t_- + t_+ < t/4$. In this case, consider the intervals I_{j+} and I_{j-} such that

$$x - t \in I_{j-}', \quad x + t \in I_{j+}', \quad E_{j+}^- < x + t/4, \quad E_{j-}^+ > x - t/4$$

Note that $\left|I'_{j\pm}\right| > 3t/4$. This implies that

$$t \geq \gamma_{j\pm} \geq \frac{3t}{4+2C^2} > \delta\gamma_{j\mp}$$

But then, by (A.2)

$$t_+ + t_- = \eta_{j-,j+} > \frac{3t}{8C^2}$$

This, in turn, implies (A.8) with $\rho = \frac{3}{8C^2}$.

The homogeneity of K with $\tau = \frac{\rho}{1+800C^4}$ now follows from (A.6), (A.7), and (A.8). □

Appendix B. Vanishing Lyapunov Exponents in the Sodin-Yuditskii Regime

In the context of homogeneity, it is a sort of folklore that we have an equivalence of the equilibrium and density of states measures (denoted $d\rho_E$ and dk, respectively), and, in particular, everywhere zero Lyapunov exponents for reflectionless Jacobi operators. We offer a formal write-up of this fact, perhaps initially observed in Eremenko-Yuditskii [9], here. Throughout, we assume our fixed compact set E is homogeneous.

The equivalence of equilibrium and density of states measures arises in light of a theorem of Simon:

Theorem B.1 (Theorem 1.15, [25]). *For an ergodic family of Jacobi operators $J(\omega)$, let $E = \mathrm{supp}(dk)$ and $C(E)$ be the logarithmic capacity of E. If $\lim_{n\to\infty}(a_1a_2...a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = C(E)$, then $dk(x) = d\rho_E(x)$.*

Here, we have denoted by $C(E)$ the potential-theoretic capacity of E. To apply this theorem in our situation, we once more appeal to the work of Sodin and Yuditskii.

The Hardy spaces of character automorphic functions on the disk $H^2(\omega)$, $\omega \in \pi^*(\mathbb{C}\setminus E)$, defined in the previous section are Hilbert spaces with reproducing kernels $k^\omega : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$. In [28, Theorem F], these kernels are used to describe continuous trace formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}(\varphi) :&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{E} + \overline{E} + \sum_{j\in I}(E_j^- + E_j^+ - 2\mu_j)\right)\\
\mathcal{P}(\varphi) :&= C(E)\frac{k^{\mathcal{A}(\varphi)+\alpha_E}(0)}{k^{\mathcal{A}(\varphi)}(0)}\\
&= C(E)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\in I}(g_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E}(\mu_j^+) - g_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E}(\mu_j) + (\sigma_j - \sigma_j^+)g_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E}(c_j))\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, (μ_j^+, σ_j^+) correspond to $\varphi^+ := \mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\varphi)+\alpha_E)$, where $\alpha_E \in \pi^*(\mathbb{C}\setminus E)$ is completely determined by E. It is shown that, when E is homogeneous, a Jacobi operator can be recovered exactly from its Dirichlet data via these trace formulas:

Theorem B.2 (Theorem F, [28]). *Let E be homogeneous, let $J = (a,b) \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, and let $\mathcal{B}(J) = \varphi$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\varphi) + n\alpha_E))\\
b_n &= \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\varphi) + n\alpha_E)).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the shift action on $\mathcal{J}(E)$ conjugates to translation by a constant vector, which, by definition, preserves the Haar measure on $\mathcal{J}(E)$. By continuity of the above maps, it follows that every element of $\mathcal{J}(E)$ is almost periodic, and, in fact, ergodic. With this theorem and the aforementioned theorem of Simon, we find the following

Proposition B.3. *For any $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$, we have $dk(x) = d\rho_E(x)$.*

We again present a lemma encompassing the majority of the technical details of the proof.

Lemma B.4. *For all $\omega \in \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus E)$, the reproducing kernels k^ω satisfy*

$$0 < |\theta(0)| \leq k^\omega(0) \leq 1 \tag{B.1}$$

Proof. Because ∞ is not a critical point of Green's function, $\theta(0) \neq 0$. Furthermore, note that, by the Direct Cauchy Theorem, we have

$$\frac{|\theta(0)|}{k^\omega(0)} = |\langle \theta, k^\omega \rangle| \leq \|\theta\| \|k^\omega\| = 1 \tag{B.2}$$

where the norms in (B.2) are taken in $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$. □

Applying the Sodin-Yuditskii characterization of the off-diagonal elements a_n above, the proof of the above corollary is now a simple calculation:

Proof of Proposition B.3. Note now that

$$(a_1 a_2 ... a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = C(E)\Big(\frac{k^{\omega+(n+1)\alpha}}{k^{\omega+\alpha}}(0)\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{B.3}$$

By Lemma B.4, $\frac{k^{\omega+(n+1)\alpha}}{k^{\omega+\alpha}}(0)$ is uniformly bounded away from zero and infinity. Taking limits in (B.3) and applying Theorem B.1 yields the claimed result. □

A somewhat remarkable (although not necessarily surprising) consequence of this is that the Lyapunov exponent vanishes throughout the spectrum:

Theorem B.5. *Suppose E is homogeneous, and let $J \in \mathcal{J}(E)$. Then the shift cocycle $S(1; J, z)$ has Lyapunov exponent $L(x)$ zero for all $x \in E$.*

Proof. Since E is homogeneous, it is $[RPW]$, and Green's function $G(z)$ vanishes throughout the spectrum and is everywhere continuous. Thus, for all $x \in E$,

$$G(x) = \int_E \log|t - x| d\rho_E(t) - \log(C(E)) = 0 \tag{B.4}$$

By equality of the DOS and equilibrium measures, (B.4), and the Thouless formula, for all $x \in E$,

$$L(x) = \int_E \log|t - x| dk(t) - \log(C(E)) = 0.$$

□

References

[1] Ilia Binder, David Damanik, Michael Goldstein, and Milivoje Lukic. Almost periodicity in time of solutions of the KdV equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.07373*, 2015.

[2] Walter Craig. The trace formula for Schrödinger operators on the line. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 126(2):379–407, 1989.

[3] David Damanik and Michael Goldstein. On the inverse spectral problem for the quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 119(1):217–401, 2014.

[4] David Damanik and Michael Goldstein. On the existence and uniqueness of global solutions for the KdV equation with quasi-periodic initial data. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[5] David Damanik, Michael Goldstein, and Milivoje Lukic. The isospectral torus of quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators via periodic approximations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2434*, 2014.

[6] David Damanik, Michael Goldstein, and Milivoje Lukic. A multi-scale analysis scheme on abelian groups with an application to operators dual to Hill's equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[7] David Damanik, Michael Goldstein, and Milivoje Lukic. The spectrum of a Schrödinger operator with small quasi-periodic potential is homogeneous. *J. Spectr. Theory*, to appear.

[8] Percy Deift. Some open problems in random matrix theory and the theory of integrable systems. In *Integrable systems and random matrices*, volume 458 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 419–430. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[9] Alexandre Eremenko and Peter Yuditskii. Comb functions. In *Recent advances in orthogonal polynomials, special functions, and their applications*, volume 578 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 99–118. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
[10] Enrico Fermi, John Pasta and Stanislaw Ulam. Studies of nonlinear problems. *Los Alamos Report LA-1940*, 978, 1955.
[11] Hermann Flaschka. The Toda lattice. II. Existence of integrals. *Phys. Rev. B*, 9:1924–1925, Feb 1974.
[12] Fritz Gesztesy, Helge Holden, Johanna Michor, and Gerald Teschl. *Soliton equations and their algebro-geometric solutions. Vol. II*, volume 114 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. $(1 + 1)$-dimensional discrete models.
[13] Daphne Gilbert, and David Pearson. On subordinacy and analysis of the spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 128(1):30–56, 1987.
[14] Svetlana Jitomirskaya and Yoram Last. Power-law subordinacy and singular spectra. I. Half-line operators. *Acta Math.*, 183(2):171–189, 1999.
[15] Svetlana Jitomirskaya and Yoram Last. Power law subordinacy and singular spectra. II. Line operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 211(3):643–658, 2000.
[16] Peter Jones and Donald Marshall. Critical points of Green's function, harmonic measure, and the corona problem. *Ark. Mat.*, 23(2):281–314, 1985.
[17] Diederik Korteweg and Gustav de Vries. XLI. On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular canal, and on a new type of long stationary waves. *Philos. Mag. (5)*, 39(240):422–443, 1895.
[18] Peter Lax. Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 21:467–490, 1968.
[19] Rick Miranda. *Algebraic curves and Riemann surfaces*, volume 5 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
[20] Franz Peherstorfer and Peter Yuditskii. Asymptotic behavior of polynomials orthonormal on a homogeneous set. *J. Anal. Math.*, 89:113–154, 2003.
[21] Christian Remling. Unpublished manuscript.
[22] Christian Remling. The absolutely continuous spectrum of Jacobi matrices. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(1):125–171, 2011.
[23] Christian Remling. Generalized reflection coefficients. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 337(2):1011–1026, 2015.
[24] Alexei Rybkin. On the evolution of a reflection coefficient under the Korteweg-de Vries flow. *J. Math. Phys.*, 49(7):072701, 15, 2008.
[25] Barry Simon. Equilibrium measures and capacities in spectral theory. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 1(4):713–772, 2007.
[26] Mikhail Sodin. Unpublished manuscript.
[27] Mikhail Sodin and Peter Yuditskii. Almost periodic Sturm-Liouville operators with Cantor homogeneous spectrum. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 70(4):639–658, 1995.
[28] Mikhail Sodin and Peter Yuditskii. Almost periodic Jacobi matrices with homogeneous spectrum, infinite-dimensional Jacobi inversion, and Hardy spaces of character-automorphic functions. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 7(3):387–435, 1997.
[29] Gerald Teschl. *Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices*, volume 72 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
[30] Morikazu Toda. Vibration of a chain with nonlinear interaction. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, 22(2):431–436, 1967.
[31] Pierre van Moerbeke. The spectrum of Jacobi matrices. *Invent. Math.*, 37(1):45–81, 1976.

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Bahen Centre, 40 St. George St., Toronto, Ontario, CANADA M5S 2E4
E-mail address: ilia@math.toronto.edu

Department of Mathematics, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, U.S.A.
E-mail address: damanik@rice.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Bahen Centre, 40 St. George St., Toronto, Ontario, CANADA M5S 2E4 and Department of Mathematics, Rice University, Houston TX 77005, U.S.A.
E-mail address: mlukic@math.toronto.edu

Department of Mathematics, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, U.S.A.
E-mail address: tvandenboom@rice.edu